

Axion Dark Matter (and Gravitational Wave) Detection in an SRF Cavity

Sebastian A. R. Ellis IPhT, CEA Saclay

Axions:

hep-ph/2007.15656 A. Berlin, R. T. D'Agnolo, SARE, K. Zhou

JHEP 07 (2020) 088, hep-ph/1912.11048 A. Berlin, R. T. D'Agnolo, SARE, P. Schuster, N. Toro, C. Nantista, J. Neilson, S. Tantawi, K. Zhou

Gravitational Waves:

To Appear A. Berlin, D. Blas, R. T. D'Agnolo, SARE, R. Harnik, Y. Kahn, J. Schütte-Engel

Outline

A lightning introduction to **axions**

Radio-Frequency up-conversion approach

Axion signal

Noise:

"Standard" haloscope considerations

SRF-specific noise sources & design

Discussion on Gravitational Waves

Outlook



QCD has a CP problem:

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{\bar{\theta}g_s^2}{32\pi^2} G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu,a}$$

Term violates CP — leads to neutron EDM

 $d_n \sim 10^{-16} \overline{\theta} \ e \ \mathrm{cm}$

Experimental limit:

$$d_n^{\rm exp} \lesssim 10^{-26} \ e \ {\rm cm}$$

$$\bar{\theta} \lesssim 10^{-10}$$



Solution: U(1)_{PQ} symmetry anomalous under QCD: pNGB after instanton breaking — QCD axion!

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \left(\frac{a}{f_a} + \bar{\theta}\right) \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu,a}$$

Peccei & Quinn (1977) Weinberg (1978) Wilczek (1978)

Potential for axion generated by confinement:

$$V = -m_{\pi}^2 f_{\pi}^2 \left(1 - \frac{4m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2} \sin^2 \left(\frac{a}{2f_a} + \frac{\bar{\theta}}{2} \right) \right)^{1/2}$$

Minimised: $\langle a \rangle = -\overline{\theta} f_a$

Axion mass related to QCD scale:

 $m_a^2 f_a^2 \sim m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2$



Axion-like particles (ALPs)

$$\mathcal{L}_{ALP} \supset \frac{1}{2}m_a^2 a^2 + \mathcal{L}_{int}$$

Generic shift-symmetric P-odd scalar field w/ derivative couplings to SM fields

Mass unrelated to QCD scale:



Motivations:a) One of ~few concrete predictions from known StringSvrček & WitteCompactifications (string axiverse)Svrček & WitteArvanitaki et a

- b) ALPs as Dark Matter from misalignment
- c) Technology to search for ALPs exists

Svrček & Witten (2006) Arvanitaki et al (2009) Stott et al (2017) Halverson & Langacker (2018)

ALPs as Dark Matter: Misalignment

Axion EoM in FRW Universe: $\ddot{a} + 3H\dot{a} + m_a^2 a = 0$

$$3H \lesssim m_a, \quad a \simeq a_0 \left(\frac{\alpha(H=3m_a)}{\alpha(t)}\right)^{3/2} \cos(m_a t + \varphi)$$

 $3H > m_a, \quad a = a_0$

DM energy density: $ho_{
m DM} \sim T^3 T_{
m eq}$

Recall: $\rho_a \sim m_a^2 a_0^2$ $T \sim (H^2 m_{\rm P}^2)^{1/4}$

Relic abundance:

$$a_0^2 = \left(\frac{T_{\rm eq}^2 m_{\rm P}^3}{m_a}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$a_0 = \theta_0 f_a$$

 a_0

Axions as Dark Matter: Targets



Axion couplings to photons

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{4}g_{a\gamma\gamma}aF\tilde{F}$$

QCD axion inevitably has such a coupling

$$g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{\rm QCD} \simeq \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \frac{1}{f_a} \left(\frac{E}{N} - 1.92 \right) \qquad \text{DFSZ: } \frac{E}{N} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{neutral VLQs} \\ 2 & \pm 1 \text{ charged VLQs} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{KSVZ: } \frac{E}{N} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{neutral VLQs} \\ 2 & \pm 1 \text{ charged VLQs} \end{cases}$$

ALP has coupling to photons introduced "by hand"

$$g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{\mathrm{ALP}} \simeq \frac{\alpha}{2\pi f_a}$$

Axion couplings to photons



frequency = $m_a/2\pi$

Resonant Axion Searches

Axion electrodynamics: $\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{g_{a\gamma\gamma}}{4} a F \tilde{F} = -g_{a\gamma\gamma} a \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}$

$$abla \cdot \mathbf{E} =
ho - g_{a\gamma\gamma} \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla a$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \partial_t \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{J} - g_{a\gamma\gamma} \left(\mathbf{E} \times \nabla a - \mathbf{B} \partial_t a \right)$$
Maxwell's new a improved Equation

nd ons

xion dark matter:
$$a(t) \simeq \frac{\sqrt{2\rho_{\rm DM}}}{m_a} \cos(m_a t + \varphi)$$

$$J_{\text{eff}}(t) \sim g_{a\gamma\gamma} B_0(t) \sqrt{\rho_{\text{DM}}} \cos m_a t \implies B_a(t) \propto J_{\text{eff}}(t)$$

Α

Resonant Axion Searches

Axion-induce magnetic field induces an E.M.F.: $\mathcal{E}_a \sim V^{2/3} \, \partial_t B_a$

$$P_{\text{sig}}^{(\text{r})} \sim \frac{\mathcal{E}_a^2}{R} \min\left(1, \frac{\tau_a}{\tau_{\text{r}}}\right) \sim \omega_{\text{sig}}^2 B_a^2 V \min\left(\frac{Q_{\text{r}}}{\omega_{\text{sig}}}, \frac{Q_a}{m_a}\right)$$

$$1/\tau_a \sim m_a \langle v^2 \rangle \qquad 1/\tau_{\text{r}} \sim \omega_{\text{sig}}/Q_{\text{r}} \qquad Q_a \sim 1/\langle v^2 \rangle$$
Maximise: $\omega_{\text{sig}}, B_a, V$

$$\boxed{\textbf{Maximise:}} \quad \textbf{WARNING}$$

$$\boxed{\textbf{QUANTITIES}}$$

$$\boxed{\textbf{OFTENLINKED}}$$

Resonant Axion Searches

Axion-induce magnetic field induces an E.M.F.: $\mathcal{E}_a \sim V^{2/3} \partial_t B_a$

$$P_{\rm sig}^{(\rm r)} \sim \frac{\mathcal{E}_a^2}{R} \min\left(1, \frac{\tau_a}{\tau_{\rm r}}\right) \sim \omega_{\rm sig}^2 B_a^2 V \min\left(\frac{Q_{\rm r}}{\omega_{\rm sig}}, \frac{Q_a}{m_a}\right)$$

ADMX and other cavities: $\omega_{\rm sig} = m_a$ $B_a \sim J_{\rm eff}/\omega_{\rm sig}$ $\omega_{\rm sig} \sim V^{-1/3}$

Difficult to reach small axion masses — cavity has to be huge!

LC resonators:

$$\omega_{\rm sig} = m_a \quad B_a \sim J_{\rm eff} V^{1/3}$$

Able to access small masses, but length-ratio suppressed

Different approach: Resonant Axion Searches

$$P_{\rm sig}^{\rm (r)} \sim \frac{\mathcal{E}_a^2}{R} \min\left(1, \frac{\tau_a}{\tau_{\rm r}}\right) \sim \omega_{\rm sig}^2 B_a^2 V \min\left(\frac{Q_{\rm r}}{\omega_{\rm sig}}, \frac{Q_a}{m_a}\right)$$

Heterodyne resonator:

$$\omega_{\rm sig} = \omega_0 \pm m_a$$

$$B_a \sim J_{\rm eff} / \omega_{\rm sig}$$

Gain:

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_a^{(\text{osc.})}}{\mathcal{E}_a^{(\text{static})}} \sim \frac{\omega_0 \pm m_a}{m_a} \sim \frac{\omega_0}{m_a}$$



Noise & exp. parameters not discussed yet

Resonant Approaches



Also: R. Lasenby hep-ph/1912.11467 Hamburg, June 4, 2021

Allowed mode transitions





Broadband Axion Resonant Frequency Conversion



hep-ph/2007.15656 A. Berlin, R. T. D'Agnolo, SARE, K. Zhou Superconducting RF Cavity $\omega_0 = \omega_1 \sim \text{GHz}$ $Q_{\text{int}} \sim 10^9 \div 10^{13}$

Requirement: $\delta \omega_i \lesssim \omega_i / Q_i^{\star}$



★ Demonstrated by DarkSRF @ FNAL

Scanning Axion Resonant Frequency Conversion

17



JHEP 07 (2020) 088, hep-ph/1912.11048 A. Berlin, R. T. D'Agnolo, SARE, P. Schuster, N. Toro, C. Nantista, J. Neilson, S. Tantawi, K. Zhou Superconducting RF Cavity $\omega_0 = \omega_1 \sim \text{GHz}$ $Q_{\rm int} \sim 10^9 \div 10^{13}$ **Tunability:** $\delta \omega \lesssim MHz$ piezos $\delta \omega \gtrsim MHz$ fins Degeneracy: $\frac{L}{R} = \left(\frac{\pi (p_1^2 - p_0^2)}{x_{mn_0}^2 - x'_{mn_1}^2}\right)^{1/2}$

Broadband: hep-ph/2007.15656 A. Berlin, R. T. D'Agnolo, SARE, K. Zhou

Sebastian A. R. Ellis — Axion Dark Matter (and Gravitational Wave) Detection in an SRF Cavity

Hamburg, June 4, 2021

Axion Signal

Signal Power Spectral Density (PSD):

$$\begin{split} S_{\text{sig}}(\omega) &= \frac{\omega_1}{Q_1} \left(g_{a\gamma\gamma} \eta_{10} B_0 \right)^2 V \frac{\omega^2}{(\omega^2 - \omega_1^2)^2 + (\omega \, \omega_1/Q_1)^2} \int \frac{d\omega'}{(2\pi)^2} \left(\omega' - \omega \right)^2 S_{b_0}(\omega') S_a(\omega - \omega') \\ \text{Axion PSD:} \qquad \langle a(t)^2 \rangle &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int d\omega \ S_a(\omega) = \frac{\rho_{\text{DM}}}{m_a^2} \\ \text{Background magnetic field PSD: To be discussed further...} \\ S_{b_i}(\omega) &= \pi^2 \left(\delta(\omega - \omega_i) + \delta(\omega + \omega_i) \right) + S_{b_i}^{(\text{phase})} + S_{b_i}^{(\text{mech})} \\ \text{NB:} \quad B_i \equiv \sqrt{\frac{1}{V_{\text{cav}}} \int_{V_{\text{cav}}} |\mathbf{B}_i(x)|^2}} \qquad \mathbf{B}_i(x, t) = \mathbf{B}_i(x) \, b_i(t) \end{split}$$

18

Hamburg, June 4, 2021

Sebastian A. R. Ellis — Axion Dark Matter (and Gravitational Wave) Detection in an SRF Cavity

Axion Signal

Signal Power Spectral Density (PSD):

$$S_{\rm sig}(\omega) = \frac{\omega_1}{Q_1} \left(g_{a\gamma\gamma} \eta_{10} B_0 \right)^2 V \frac{\omega^2}{(\omega^2 - \omega_1^2)^2 + (\omega \omega_1/Q_1)^2} \int \frac{d\omega'}{(2\pi)^2} \left(\omega' - \omega \right)^2 S_{b_0}(\omega') S_a(\omega - \omega')$$

Signal Power (resonant):

$$P_{\rm sig} \simeq \frac{1}{4} \left(g_{a\gamma\gamma} \eta_{10} B_0 \right)^2 \rho_{\rm DM} V \times \begin{cases} Q_1/\omega_1 & \frac{m_a}{Q_a} \ll \frac{\omega_1}{Q_1} \\ \pi Q_a/m_a & \frac{m_a}{Q_a} \gg \frac{\omega_1}{Q_1} \end{cases},$$

Widths: $\Delta \omega_a \sim m_a/Q_a$

$$\Delta\omega_r = \omega_1/Q_1$$

Standard Noise Sources: Thermal Noise

Power Spectral Density:

$$S_{\rm th}(\omega) = \frac{Q_1}{Q_{\rm int}} \frac{4\pi T (\omega \,\omega_1/Q_1)^2}{(\omega^2 - \omega_1^2)^2 + (\omega \,\omega_1/Q_1)^2}$$



Non-standard Noise Sources: Phase Noise



Non-standard Noise Sources: Wall Vibrations



Non-standard Noise Sources: Field Emission



All Noise Sources



24

All Noise Sources



Sebastian A. R. Ellis — Axion Dark Matter (and Gravitational Wave) Detection in an SRF Cavity

Experimental precedent



Experimental precedent

Mode rejection:

 $\mathcal{E} = 10^{-7}$ achieved



gr-qc/0502054 Ballantini et al. physics/0004031 Bernard, Gemme, Parodi, Picasso

Low-frequency seismic noise:

$$\Delta \omega / \omega \sim \delta \sim 10^{-10}$$

DarkSRF (2020)

Scientific Reports 8, 15324 (2018) Rosat & Hinderer



Signal to Noise: readout & overcoupling



Noise:

$$S_{\text{noise}}(\omega) = S_{\text{ql}}(\omega) + \frac{Q_1}{Q_{\text{cpl}}} \left(S_{\text{th}}(\omega) + S_{\text{phase}}(\omega) + S_{\text{mech}}^{(1)}(\omega) \right) + \frac{Q_0}{Q_{\text{cpl}}} S_{\text{mech}}^{(0)}(\omega)$$

Signal to Noise

Roughly:

$$(\text{SNR})^2 \simeq t_{\text{int}} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, \left(\frac{S_{\text{sig}}(\omega)}{S_{\text{noise}}(\omega)}\right)^2$$

Thermal noise dominated:

$$\mathrm{SNR} \sim \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{DM}} V}{m_a \,\omega_1} \left(g_{a\gamma\gamma} \,\eta_{10} \,B_0\right)^2 \,\left(\frac{Q_a \,Q_{\mathrm{int}} \,t_e}{T}\right)^{1/2}$$

Comparison with LC resonator:

$$\frac{\mathrm{SNR}}{\mathrm{SNR}^{\mathrm{LC}}} \sim \frac{\omega_0 \pm m_a}{m_a} \left(\frac{Q_{\mathrm{int}}}{Q_{\mathrm{LC}}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{T_{\mathrm{LC}}}{T}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{B_0}{B_{\mathrm{LC}}}\right)^2$$

Resonant Axion Resonant Frequency Conversion

B = 0.2 T, T = 2K, \omega_0 = 1 GHz frequency = $m_a/2\pi$



30

Resonant Axion Resonant Frequency Conversion

B = 0.2 T, T = 2K, \omega_0 = 1 GHz frequency = $m_a/2\pi$



Sebastian A. R. Ellis — Axion Dark Matter (and Gravitational Wave) Detection in an SRF Cavity

Resonant parameter variations: *Q-factor*

frequency = $m_a/2\pi$ B = 0.2 T, T = 2K, $\omega_0 = 1 GHz$ mHz Hz kHz GHz μHz MHz 10^{-9} CAST 10-10 ' 10⁸ SN1987A γ 10-11 10-12 Q_{int}=10¹⁰ Q_{int}=10⁹ 1010 10-13 Q_{int}=10¹² 10^{-14} $g_{a\gamma\gamma}$ [GeV⁻¹. $\begin{bmatrix} 10^{12} & \sum_{ab}^{ab} \\ 10^{14} & \sum_{ab}^{ab} \end{bmatrix}$ 10^{-15} 10-16 $\epsilon_{\rm 1d} = 10^{-7}$ $\Delta x = 0.1 \text{ nm}$ 10^{-17} ALP DM ($\theta \sim 1$) 10-18 10^{16} 10^{-19} 10-20 hermal noise limited 10^{18} 10^{-21} 10-22 10^{-22} 10^{-18} 10^{-16} 10^{-14} 10^{-12} 10^{-10} 10^{-20} 10^{-8} 10^{-6} 10^{-4}

JHEP 07 (2020) 088, hep-ph/1912.11048

 $m_a \, [eV]$

Resonant parameter variations: mode rejection

B = 0.2 T, T = 2K, $\omega_0 = 1 \text{ GHz}$ frequency $= m_a/2\pi$



Resonant parameter variations: *mode rejection*



Sebastian A. R. Ellis — Axion Dark Matter (and Gravitational Wave) Detection in an SRF Cavity

Noise Sources: Broadband search

Leveraging non-zero excitement of signal mode off-resonance



A. Berlin, R. T. D'Agnolo, SARE, K. Zhou

Broadband Signal to Noise

Roughly:

$$\text{SNR} \sim \frac{P_{\text{sig}}}{S_n(\omega_{\text{sig}})} \sqrt{\frac{t_{\text{int}}}{\Delta\omega_{\text{sig}}}}$$

$$\Delta\omega_{\rm sig} = \max(\Delta\omega_d, \Delta\omega_a)$$

(external oscillator has finite width)

Low masses:

SNR ~
$$\rho_{\rm DM} \left(\frac{g_{a\gamma\gamma} Q_{\rm int}}{\omega_0 \epsilon} \right)^2 \sqrt{t_{\rm int} \Delta \omega_d}$$

High masses:

$$\mathrm{SNR} \sim \rho_{\mathrm{DM}} V_{\mathrm{cav}} \, \frac{\Delta \omega_r}{S_{\mathrm{amp}}(\omega_{\mathrm{sig}})} \Big(\frac{g_{a\gamma\gamma} \, B_0}{m_a}\Big)^2 \sqrt{\frac{t_{\mathrm{int}}}{\Delta \omega_a}}$$

Intermediate masses:

$$S_{\text{leak}}(\omega_{\text{sig}}) \sim \epsilon^2 P_{\text{in}} \left(\frac{\Delta \omega_r}{m_a}\right)^2 S_{\varphi}(m_a) \qquad S_{\text{leak}}(\omega_{\text{sig}}) \sim \epsilon^2 P_{\text{in}} \left(\frac{\Delta \omega_r}{m_a}\right)^2 \frac{\delta^2 Q_{\text{int}}^2}{\omega_{\min} Q_m}$$

36

phase-dominated

mechanical-dominated

Broadband Axion Resonant Frequency Conversion

frequency = $m_a/2\pi$ B = 0.2 T, T = 2K, $\omega_0 = 100 MHz$ mHz kHz GHz Hz MHz μHz 10^{-9} CAST 10-10 \mathcal{C} SN1987A γ CMB 10^{-11} m_{a} $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$ 10^{-12} $Q_{\rm int} = 10^{10}$ $Q_{\text{int}} = 10^{\overline{12}}$ $t_{\text{int}} = 1 \text{ day}$ 10-13 $g_{a\gamma\gamma}~[{
m GeV^{-1}}$ 10^{-14} $\epsilon = 10^{-5}$ $Q_{\text{int}} = 10^{10}$ 10^{-15} $t_{\text{int}} = 10 \text{ days}$ 10^{-16} ALP DM $(\theta \sim 1)$ OCD and 10-17 10-18 10-19 **Luum** ______ 10^{-22} 10^{-14} 10^{-20} 10^{-18} 10^{-16} 10^{-12} 10^{-8} 10^{-6} 10^{-10} 10^{-4} hep-ph/2007.15656 $m_a \, [eV]$

37

Sebastian A. R. Ellis — Axion Dark Matter (and Gravitational Wave) Detection in an SRF Cavity

Gravitational Waves?



Sebastian A. R. Ellis — Axion Dark Matter (and Gravitational Wave) Detection in an SRF Cavity

Hamburg, June 4, 2021

38

Comparing with Axion

Axion electrodynamics: $\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{g_{a\gamma\gamma}}{4} a F \tilde{F} = -g_{a\gamma\gamma} a \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \rho - g_{a\gamma\gamma} \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla a$

 $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \partial_t \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{J} - g_{a\gamma\gamma} \left(\mathbf{E} \times \nabla a - \mathbf{B} \partial_t a \right)$

GW – photon mixing (Gertsenshtein effect)



$$\partial_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} = -J_{\rm SM}^{\nu}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}h\right) + h_{\alpha}{}^{\nu}J_{\rm SM}^{\alpha} - \partial_{\mu}\left[\frac{1}{2}hF^{\mu\nu} - h_{\alpha}{}^{\mu}F^{\alpha\nu} + h_{\alpha}{}^{\nu}F^{\alpha\mu}\right]$$

Maxwell's new and improved Equations

GW interaction w/ Cavity Walls

Indirect effect: GWs perturb cavity walls Δx

Cavity modes dependent on geometry

Small perturbations: $\omega_c \to \omega_c (1 + f(\Delta x))$

Proper detector frame, effect of GW is that of Newtonian force on a test mass:

$$F_i \simeq \frac{m}{2} \ddot{h}_{ij}^{\rm TT} x^j$$

Passing gravitational wave will move walls, spreading power in frequency space

Focus of MAGO collaboration @ CERN in early 2000s - e.g. gr-qc/0502054



Reach — Monochromatic source**

**ultra-preliminary



Sebastian A. R. Ellis — Axion Dark Matter (and Gravitational Wave) Detection in an SRF Cavity

Hamburg, June 4, 2021

Outlook

Radio-Frequency up-conversion approach

 $\omega_{\rm sig} = \omega_0 \pm m_a$

Parametric gain for small axion masses vs. LC Resonator

$$\frac{\mathrm{SNR}}{\mathrm{SNR}^{\mathrm{LC}}} \sim \frac{\omega_0 \pm m_a}{m_a} \left(\frac{Q_{\mathrm{int}}}{Q_{\mathrm{LC}}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{T_{\mathrm{LC}}}{T}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{B_0}{B_{\mathrm{LC}}}\right)^2$$

SLAC group seeking internal funding

SRF group @ CERN interested in making preliminary noise measurements

Snowmass LOI CF2 & AF5



Outlook



Backup

Power comparison with static LC resonator

Power for monochromatic background field:

$$P_{\rm sig} \simeq \frac{1}{4} \left(g_{a\gamma\gamma} \eta_{10} B_0 \right)^2 \rho_{\rm DM} V \times \begin{cases} Q_1/\omega_1 & \frac{m_a}{Q_a} \ll \frac{\omega_1}{Q_1} \\ \pi Q_a/m_a & \frac{m_a}{Q_a} \gg \frac{\omega_1}{Q_1} \end{cases},$$

Power for LC resonator:

$$P_{\rm sig}^{\rm (LC)} \sim (g_{a\gamma\gamma}B_{\rm LC})^2 \,\rho_{\rm \scriptscriptstyle DM} \, V^{5/3} \min(Q_{\rm LC}, Q_a) \, m_a$$

Ratio:

$$\frac{P_{\text{sig}}}{P_{\text{sig}}^{(\text{LC})}} \sim \left(\frac{0.2 \text{ T}}{4 \text{ T}}\right)^2 \times \begin{cases} \left(Q_1/Q_a\right)^2 \frac{\left(\omega_1/Q_1\right)}{\left(m_a/Q_a\right)} & \frac{m_a}{Q_a} \ll \frac{\omega_1}{Q_1}\\ \left(\omega_1/m_a\right)^2 & \frac{m_a}{Q_a} \gg \frac{\omega_1}{Q_1} \end{cases}$$

Potential Sensitivity



Potential Sensitivity dependences – $Q_{\rm int}$



Potential Sensitivity dependences – geom. factor



Broadband Axion Resonant Frequency Conversion





Hamburg, June 4, 2021

49

Potential Sensitivity dependences – e-fold time



Statistical treatment

Both signal and noise exponentially distributed:

$$L[\tilde{d}] = \prod_{i} \frac{e^{-|\tilde{d}_i|^2/(S_s(\omega_i) + S_n(\omega_i))}}{\pi(S_s(\omega_i) + S_n(\omega_i))}$$

Test statistic:

$$q(g_{a\gamma\gamma}) = -2 \log \left(\frac{L(g_{a\gamma\gamma}, \hat{\hat{\theta}}_s, \hat{\hat{\theta}}_n)}{L(\hat{g}_{a\gamma\gamma}, \hat{\theta}_s, \hat{\theta}_n)} \right) \Theta(g_{a\gamma\gamma}^2 - \hat{g}_{a\gamma\gamma}^2)$$

For $t_{\text{int}} \gg \tau_a$ Wilks' theorem implies $q(g_{a\gamma\gamma}) \simeq \sum_i \left(\frac{g_{a\gamma\gamma}^2 \lambda_{s,i}(\hat{\hat{\theta}}_s)}{\lambda_{n,i}(\hat{\hat{\theta}}_n)}\right)^2 \simeq \frac{t_{\text{int}}}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \left(\frac{S_s(\omega)}{S_n(\omega)}\right)^2 \qquad \text{SNR}(t_{\text{int}} \gg \tau_a) \gtrsim \begin{cases} 1.3 & 90\% \text{ C.L.} \\ 1.6 & 95\% \text{ C.L.} \end{cases},$

For $t_{\rm int} \ll \tau_a$ axion signal in single DFT bin

$$q(g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{2},S) = 2 \times \begin{cases} 0 & g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{2}\lambda_{s} + \lambda_{n} < S \\ \frac{S}{g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{2}\lambda_{s} + \lambda_{n}} - 1 + \log \frac{g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{2}\lambda_{s} + \lambda_{n}}{S} & \lambda_{n} \le S \le g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{2}\lambda_{s} + \lambda_{n} \\ \frac{S}{g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{2}\lambda_{s} + \lambda_{n}} - \frac{S}{\lambda_{n}} + \log \frac{g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{2}\lambda_{s} + \lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{n}} & S < \lambda_{n} \end{cases} \qquad SNR(t_{int} \ll \tau_{a}) \gtrsim \begin{cases} 5.6 & 90\% \text{ C.L.} \\ 12.5 & 95\% \text{ C.L.} \end{cases}$$

GW interaction w/ EM strategy: venerable history

Braginskii & Menskii, 1971 JETP LETTERS VOLUME 13, NUMBER 11 5 JUNE 1971 HIGH-FREQUENCY DETECTION OF GRAVITATIONAL WAVES V. B. Braginskii and M. B. Menskii Physics Department, Moscow State University Submitted 18 March 1971 ZhETF Pis. Red. 13, No. 11, 585 - 587 (5 June 1971) J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., Vol. 11, No. 10, 1978. Printed in Great Britain Pegoraro, Picasso & Radicati, 1978 On the operation of a tunable electromagnetic detector for gravitational waves F Pegoraro[†], E Picasso[‡] and L A Radicati[‡]§ ⁺Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy ‡CERN, Geneva, Switzerland Received 6 December 1977, in final form 20 April 1978 ELECTROMAGNETIC DETECTOR FOR GRAVITATIONAL WAVES Pegoraro, Radicati, Bernard & Picasso, 1978 F. PEGORARO, L.A. RADICATI Led to MAGO collaboration @ CERN Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy and early 2000's Ph. BERNARD and E. PICASSO CERN, Geneva, Switzerland See also Caves 1979, Reece, Reiner & Melissinos 1982, 1984 Received 29 June 1978 52

Framing the question

Proper detector frame

$$ds^{2} \simeq -dt^{2} \left(1 + 2\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^{2} - (\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{x})^{2} + R_{0i0j}x^{i}x^{j}\right) \\ + 2dtdx^{i} \left(\begin{cases} \text{Sagnac effect} \\ \epsilon_{ijk}\Omega^{j}x^{k} - \frac{2}{3}R_{0jik}x^{j}x^{k} \end{cases} + dx^{i}dx^{j} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}R_{ikjl}x^{k}x^{l} \right) \end{cases}$$

Gravitational wave in TT gauge

$$\partial_{\mu}h^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad h_{\mu}{}^{\mu} = 0, \quad h_{00} = h_{0i} = 0$$

Riemann takes simple form

$$R_{0i0j} = -\frac{1}{2}\ddot{h}_{ij}^{\mathrm{TT}}$$

Framing the question

Proper detector frame

$$ds^2 \simeq -dt^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\ddot{h}_{ij}^{\mathrm{TT}}x^i x^j\right) + dx^i dx^i$$

Maxwell's new and improved equations, roughly:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \rho(1 - h_{00}) + \nabla h_{00} \cdot \mathbf{E}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \partial_t \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{J} + \partial_t (h_{00} \mathbf{E})$$

Generation of EM wave from GW and background field:

$$\Box \mathbf{E} = -\partial_t^2(h_{00}\mathbf{E}_0)$$

Experimental context

Technical concept	Frequency	Proposed sensitivity (dimensionless)	Proposed sensitivity $\sqrt{S_n(f)}$
Spherical resonant mass, Sec. 4.1.3 [282]			
Mini-GRAIL (built) [289]	2942.9 Hz	10^{-20}	$5 \cdot 10^{-20} \mathrm{Hz}^{-rac{1}{2}}$
		$2.3\cdot 10^{-23}(*)$	$10^{-22} \mathrm{Hz}^{-rac{1}{2}} (*)$
Schenberg antenna (built) [286]	3.2 kHz	$2.6\cdot 10^{-20}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-19} \mathrm{Hz}^{-rac{1}{2}}$
		$2.4 \cdot 10^{-23}$ (*)	$10^{-22} \mathrm{Hz}^{-rac{1}{2}} (*)$
Laser interferometers			
NEMO (devised), Sec. 4.1.1 [25,272]	[1 - 2.5] kHz	$9.4 \cdot 10^{-26}$	$10^{-24}\mathrm{Hz}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$
Akutsu's proposal (built), Sec. 4.1.2 [277, 328]	100 MHz	$7 \cdot 10^{-14}$	$10^{-16} \text{ Hz}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$
		$2 \cdot 10^{-19} (*)$	$10^{-20} \mathrm{Hz}^{-\frac{1}{2}} (*)$
Holometer (built), Sec. 4.1.2 [279]	[1 - 13] MHz	$8 \cdot 10^{-22}$	$10^{-21}\mathrm{Hz}^{-rac{1}{2}}$
Optically levitated sensors, Sec. 4.2.1 [59]			
1-meter prototype (under construction)	(10 - 100) kHz	$2.4 \cdot 10^{-20} - 4.2 \cdot 10^{-22}$	$(10^{-19} - 10^{-21}) \mathrm{Hz}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$
100-meter instrument (devised)	(10 - 100) kHz	$2.4 \cdot 10^{-22} - 4.2 \cdot 10^{-24}$	$(10^{-21} - 10^{-23}) \mathrm{Hz}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$

Aggarwal et al, 2011.12414

Experimental context

Resonant polarization rotation, Sec. 4.2.4 [307]			
Cruise's detector (devised) [308]	$(0.1-10^5)\mathrm{GHz}$	$h \simeq 10^{-17}$	×
Cruise & Ingley's detector (prototype) [309, 310]	100 MHz	$8.9\cdot 10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}\mathrm{Hz}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$
Enhanced magnetic conversion (theory), Sec. 4.2.5 [311]	5 GHz	$h \simeq 10^{-30} - 10^{-26}$	×
Bulk acoustic wave resonators (built), Sec. 4.2.6 [316, 317]	(MHz – GHz)	$4.2 \cdot 10^{-21} - 2.4 \cdot 10^{-20}$	$10^{-22}\mathrm{Hz}^{-rac{1}{2}}$
Superconducting rings, (theory), Sec. 4.2.7 [318]	10 GHz	$h_{0,n,\mathrm{mono}}\simeq 10^{-31}$	×
Microwave cavities, Sec. 4.2.8			
Caves' detector (devised) [320]	500 Hz	$h\simeq 2\cdot 10^{-21}$	×
Reece's 1st detector (built) [321]	1 MHz	$h \simeq 4 \cdot 10^{-17}$	×
Reece's 2nd detector (built) [322]	10 GHz	$h \simeq 6 \cdot 10^{-14}$	×
Pegoraro's detector (devised) [323]	$(1 - 10) { m GHz}$	$h \simeq 10^{-25}$	×
Graviton-magnon resonance (theory), Sec. 4.2.9 [324]	(8 – 14) GHz	$9.1 \cdot 10^{-17} - 1.1 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$(10^{-22} - 10^{-20}) \mathrm{Hz}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$

Table 1: Summary of existing and proposed detectors with their respective sensitivities. See Sec. 4.3 for details.

Aggarwal et al, 2011.12414

Signal to Noise GWs

Roughly:

$$(\text{SNR})^2 \simeq t_{\text{int}} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, \left(\frac{S_{\text{sig}}(\omega)}{S_{\text{noise}}(\omega)}\right)^2$$

Monochromatic:
$$S_{\text{sig}}^{\text{MC}}(\omega) = \frac{\omega_{\text{sig}}}{Q_{\text{sig}}} \frac{\omega^4 (\eta E_0 h_0)^2 V}{(\omega_{\text{sig}}^2 - \omega^2)^2 + (\omega \omega_{\text{sig}}/Q_{\text{sig}})^2} S_{e_0}(\omega - \omega_G) \qquad h_0 \sim \omega_G^2 V^{2/3} h$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Flat:} \qquad S_{\text{sig}}^{\text{Flat}}(\omega) &= \frac{\omega_{\text{sig}}}{Q_{\text{sig}}} \frac{\omega^4 (\eta E_0)^2 V}{(\omega_{\text{sig}}^2 - \omega^2)^2 + (\omega \omega_{\text{sig}}/Q_{\text{sig}})^2} \frac{3H_0^2}{8} \left(\frac{\Omega_{\text{GW}}(\omega - \omega_0)}{(\omega - \omega_0)^3} + \frac{\Omega_{\text{GW}}(\omega + \omega_0)}{(\omega + \omega_0)^3} \right) \\ \Omega_{\text{GW}} &\sim \frac{1}{3H_0^2} \omega^2 h_{\text{sto}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Design params:
$$S_{\text{noise}}(\omega) \sim S_{\text{th}}(\omega) = \frac{Q_1}{Q_{\text{int}}} \frac{4\pi T (\omega \omega_1/Q_1)^2}{(\omega^2 - \omega_1^2)^2 + (\omega \omega_1/Q_1)^2}$$

$$h_{\rm min}^{\rm MC} \sim \frac{1}{\omega_G^2} \left(\frac{T}{\omega_1 Q_1}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\delta\omega}{t_{\rm int}}\right)^{1/4} \frac{1}{E_0 V^{7/6}} \sim 10^{-22} \left(\frac{10^7 \text{ Hz}}{\omega_G}\right)^2$$