### X-Ray Polarimetry and Cadmium Zinc Telluride (CZT) Detectors

M. Beilicke, Q. Guo, F. Kislat, K. Lee, J. Martin, <u>H. Krawczynski</u> (Wash. Univ.), A. Burger, M. Groza (Fisk Univ.), J. Matteson (UCSD)

Plan of Talk:
Motivation: X-Ray Polarimetry.
CZT Detectors:

Development.
Exemplary architectures.

Summary.





### **X-Ray Observations**

Chandra & XMM Newton (1999-present, 0.1-12 keV)

Tycho Super Nova Remnant



⇒ Riccardo Giacconi receives Nobel Price in Physics for X-ray Astrophysics (2002). • *Few polarimetric results*, except Crab Nebula, Cyg X-1 with OSO-8 (Weisskopf et al. 1978) and Integral (Dean et al. 2008, Laurent et al. 2011).

Polarization measurements:

 ⇒ Statistics
 (5% pol. degree: ~10,000 γ's for 99% confidence level detection)
 ⇒ Systematics
 (~10% systematic errors on Integral results)

### **X-Ray Polarimetry**

GEMS Gravity and Extreme Magnetism SMEX (2-10 keV)



Swank et al., GSFC

### X-Calibur (5-70 keV, 20-70 keV):



Krawczynski, Beilicke, Guo, Kislat et al.

Photoelectric effect polarimeters:
4 Time Projection Chambers, each
30 cm demethyl ether at 0.25 atm.

Compton effect polarimeter:

 - 14cm scintillator rod surrounded by 32 CZT detectors.

## Hard X-Ray Polarimetry with X-Calibur



- I.6 ton payload,
- 40 km flight altitude,
- Pointing accuracy: 0.015°



- 255 shell Al mirror;
- 50 cm<sup>2</sup> area at 30 keV;
- (Pt/C coating).

## Hard X-Ray Polarimetry with X-Calibur









### Rotation: cancel systematics.

## **Results with Polarized Beam**





Reconstructed polarization fraction: 52%.

One-Day Balloon Flight (Fort Sumner, NM, 2013): Observe Cyg X-1, GRS 1915, Crab, Her X-1, Mrk 421 with 4% MDP for Crab.

### Science Driver: X-ray Polarimetric Observations of Black Holes

#### Cygnus X-1:



EXOSAT

Stellar mass black hole in X-ray binary:



Energy resolved (non-imaging) polarimetry
 ⇒ map accretion flow and spacetime!

19 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub> O-star orbits "invisible" 15 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub> companion with 5.6 day period.

## Science Driver: X-ray Polarimetric Observations of Black Holes

Guo et al. (2011):





 $a_* = \frac{cJ}{GM^2} > 0.97 (3\sigma)$ 

- X-ray polarimetry (0.5-100 keV):
- Test Accretion Disk Models.
- Test No-Hair Theorem of GR.
- Constrain corona geometry.

### **Simulation Results**



HK (2012, ApJ, in press, arXiv:1205.7063)

### **Simulation Results**



⇒ Measure Black Hole Spin and some (but rather limited) sensitivity to test No Hair Theorem (HK 2012).

> Also: Connors et al. (1980), Schnittman & Krolik (2010).

### Cadmium Zinc Telluride X-Ray and Gamma-Ray Detectors

- ✤ Cd<sub>(1-x)</sub> Zn<sub>x</sub> Te; x~0.1.
- Large direct band-gap:
  - $E_g = 1.57 \text{ eV}; E_i = 4.64 \text{ eV}$
  - Room-temp operation!
- High stopping power:
  - High <Z>: 49.1,
  - High-density: 5.78 g cm
  - Detector thickness: 0.2...1.5 cm.
- Detector Units (Endicott, Orbotech, Redlen, Creative Electron, Qickpak):
   Standard: 0.5x2x2 cm<sup>3</sup>;
  - Large: 0.5x4x4 cm<sup>3</sup> & 1.5x2x2 cm<sup>3</sup>.
- Electronic properties:

  - ♦  $\mu \tau |_h = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1}.$

#### **Pixel Detector**





#### Coplanar Grid Detector



**Planar CZT Detector** 

<sup>₽</sup>

+

## Planar Detectors vs. Small Pixel Detectors





#### Barret et al., Luke et al. 1995

**Pixel CZT Detector** 

 $\overline{2}$ 

e





## **Applications for CZT Detectors**



2-100 keV X-rays (vs. Si):

- Energy thresholds  $\geq 2$  keV.
- Energy res. 0.5-2 keV FWHM.
- Spatial resolutions ~ 1mm.
- Better stopping than Si.
- Higher  $\sigma_{PE}/\sigma_{C.}$

>100 keV gamma-rays (vs. Scint. & Germanium):

- Better energy (<1% FWHM @ 662 keV) and spatial resolutions than the best scintillators.
- No need for cryogenic cooling.

Material of choice for many spectroscopic photon detection in

### 02010 WUSTL & BFE

### **Detector Fabrication:**

1 mm

- ✤ Polish with abrasive.
- 5% Br, 95% Methanol wet etch;
- Photolithography;
- Contact deposition with e-Beam evaporator.

## **Detector Fabrication**



Au on cathode:
 blocking contact on
 n-type CZT
 ⇒ reduced dark current.

In & Ti on anode:
 ohmic contact on
 n-type CZT
 ⇒ reduced noise.

## **Optimization of Detector Contacts**



Good yield for detectors with pixels at ~100 micron pixel pitch.

## Optimization of Photolithography



## Pixelated Detectors





Cross-strip CZT detector:  $0.5 \times 4 \times 4 \text{ cm}^3$ . Dual-anode CZT detectors:  $I \times 2 \times 2 \text{ cm}^3$ .

Limited energy resolutions (>~3%) and modest detection efficiency owing to modest small pixel effect and weak cathode signals.

## Alternative Contact Designs

#### ASIC board:



ASIC developed by G. de

Geronimo (Brookhaven):

- I-2 keV noise (FWHM).

- 32 channel;

#### CZT on ceramic substrate:



#### CZT on ceramic substrate:



#### Tower with 8 detectors:



## Detector Systems

CZT with 4096 pixels at 350 µm pitch, footprint 2.24 x 2.24 cm<sup>2</sup>;
ASIC: 2048 channels ASIC (L. J. Meng, UIUC);

• Wash. Univ. readout system:





• CdTe detector (2mm).

• Energy res. 4 keV FWHM.

## Towards Smaller Pixels



A. Burger, M. Groza
(Fisk University)
H. Krawczynski,
I. Jung (Wash. Univ)

• Infrared imaging (I.1  $\mu$ m) reveals non-uniformities correlated with underperforming pixels.

# Infrared Imaging





#### E-field from Pockels (CZT: 0.5x0.9x0.9 cm<sup>3</sup>):



#### E-field from Simulations:

# Pockels effect can be used to measure E-field.

Groza et al. 2010

# Pockels Imaging



Pockels image of 0.5x1.9x1.7 cm<sup>3</sup> CZT detector suggests "layered E-field" inside detector.

> Transient analysis confirms results from Pockels imaging.



## Pockels Imaging



\*  $\mu_e = 700 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $\tau_e = 5.9 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s};$ \*  $\mu_h = 50 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $\tau_h = 10^{-6} \text{ s}.$ 

## **Detector Simulations**

#### Beilicke et al. 2012



Orbotech CZT, 0.5x2x2 cm<sup>3</sup>

Results: <sup>57</sup>Co spectrum after threshold minimization.



### Energy Resolutions (1x2x2 cm<sup>3</sup> eV-Products CZT)



### Beilicke et al. 2012



Steering grids improve performance!

### Direct Comparison of Different Anode Patterns

# **CZT Based Astroparticle Physics Experiments**

Experiment	Start	Number of Detectors	Volume of Detectors	Pixels per Detector	Energy Range
Swift (BAT)	2004	32,768	0.2x0.4x0.4 cm <sup>3</sup>	1	15-150 keV
NuSTAR	2012	4	0.2x1.9 x1.9cm <sup>3</sup>	1024	5-80 keV
X-Calibur	2013	32	0.2x2x2cm <sup>3</sup> 0.5x2x2cm <sup>3</sup>	64	20-70 keV
COBRA	tbd	9,556	0.5x4x4cm <sup>3</sup>	256	2-3 MeV

# The SWIFT Burst Alert Telescope







Property	Description	
Aperture	Coded mask	
Detecting Area	5200 cm <sup>2</sup>	
Detector	CdZnTe	
Detector Operation	Photon counting	
Field of View	1.4 sr (partially-coded)	
Detection Elements	256 modules of 128 elements	
Detector Size	4 mm x 4 mm x 2mm	
Telescope PSF	17 arcmin	
Energy Range	15-150 keV	



## The Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array NuSTAR



Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
Pixel size	$0.6 \text{ mm}/12.3^{\prime\prime}$	Max processing rate	400 evt/s
Focal plane size	$13' \times 13'$	Max flux meas. rate	$10^{4}/s$
Pixel format	$32 \times 32$	time resolution	$2\mu sec$
Threshold	2.5 keV (each pixel)	Dead time fraction (weak source)	2%





### Summary

- In Astrophysics CZT has become the material of choice for the detection of hard X-rays (5 keV 1 MeV) with excellent spatial and energy resolutions.
- Infrared imaging and Pockels studies show that CZT crystals exhibit a wide range of non-uniformities. Even some good detectors show horizontal E-field variations.
- Thick (>2mm) detectors work best with small pixels.
- Main effect of steering grids: improvement of detection efficiency by ~20%.
- Excellent energy resolutions require small pixels, and thus a considerable number of readout channels.
- Not covered here: COBRA (Zuber et al.), protoEXIST (Grindley et al.) and 3-D CZT time projection detectors (He et al.).